UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,010	07/18/2003	Daniel Plastina	MS#303012.1 (5055)	4615
321 SENNIGER PO	7590 04/02/2007 DWERS	·	EXAMINER	
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			VUU, HENRY	
16TH FLOOR ST LOUIS, MO	) 63102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
51 20010, MC	J 03.02	,	2179	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DÉLIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/02/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 04/02/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspatents@senniger.com

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/623,010	PLASTINA ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Henry Vuu	2179			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lety filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja					
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14 and 16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
•	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-10,12-14 and 16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
		r election requirement.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 January 2007</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
,	·	ammer, Note the addition of office	7,00011 01 1011111 1 0 102.			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	t(s)		•			
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P				
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/20/2007</u> . 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2179

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 3, 5, 8 – 10, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chasen et al. (Patent No. 6,760,721).

As to independent claim 1, Chasen et al. teaches:

A method for displaying metadata (see e.g., col. 1, lines 40 – 42; i.e., organizing metadata located in a database for presentation on a display to a user) of a media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata) being stored in a memory (see e.g., col. 1, lines 52 – 53); the memory corresponds to a database of a computing device), said media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata) having a first metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file) including a first property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" of the audio file), and having a second metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second metadata field corresponds to "Playlists" of the audio file) including a second property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second property data corresponds to "New Playlist", "Favorites", and "Study Music" of the audio file),

Art Unit: 2179

each property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the set of property data associated with the audio file corresponds to "Master Library" and "Playlists") defining a property of the media file (see e.g., col. 5, lines 20 – 43; i.e., audio program display 110 includes a tree window 120 that is used to display a groupings tree 124 and playlist tree 126 associated with the audio file), respectively, comprising: identifying a first property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist") from the first metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file) of the media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata); parsing (see e.g., col. 8, lines 39 – 41 and col. 12, lines 31 – 65; i.e., parsing the first property data corresponds to GUI 220 allowing a user to expand a subtree of the master tree 122 in order to view subtree children in order to edit and the metadata. In further view of parsing, parsing is also viewed as the systems traversal of tree master tree 122, grouping tree 124, and playlist 126 to identify the CLEAN and DIRTY flag or delimiter) the first property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" after a user has expanded "Master Library") included in the first metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file); identifying (see e.g., Fig. 6 and col. 16, lines 1-22; i.e., identifying corresponds to the system traversing all the nodes in the tree, therefore identifying a node that has a CLEAN or DIRTY status flag) a property delimiter (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1 - 22; i.e., the property delimiter corresponds to a CLEAN or DIRTY flag that has been applied to any metadata or data changes, wherein the system marks a node with a CLEAN or DIRTY status) included in the first property data (see e.g., Fig. 1 and col. 15, lines 8 – 29; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All

Art Unit: 2179

Tracks" of the audio file, wherein metadata or data changes are applied to nodes within "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" nodes) in the first metadata field (see e.g., "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" nodes are children nodes of the first metadata, wherein the first metadata corresponds to "Master Library"); identifying (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8-29 and col. 16, lines 1-22; i.e., the system traverses all the nodes within the tree, in this case "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", "All Tracks", "Debussy", "Mozart", "Rachmaninoff" or audio track nodes, to identify CLEAN and DIRTY flags of any metadata or data changes the user has applied) a first property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 – 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or audio tracks classified in a node, wherein Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track can be a first property that maintains a CLEAN status or delimiter) and a second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track, wherein Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track can be a second property that has been set to a DIRTY status or delimiter) based on the identified property delimiter (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 – 29 and col. 16, lines 1 – 22; i.e., the user is able to change the metadata or data of nodes residing in associated parent nodes, such as reclassifying an audio track titled "Always", which corresponds to a second property, wherein the reclassification of audio track "Always" will be set to a DIRTY status or delimiter, and will further be identified when the system traverses the tree) from the parsed first property data (see e.g., col. 15, lines 19 - 29 and col. 16, lines 23 - 28; i.e., first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" or the audio file, wherein the user must parse the "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" nodes to change the metadata or

Art Unit: 2179

data of an audio track, such as the previously discussed audio track "Always"), wherein the property delimiter (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1-22; i.e., the property delimiter corresponds to a CLEAN or DIRTY flag that has been applied to any metadata or data changes, wherein the system marks the node with a CLEAN or DIRTY status or delimiter, such as the second property audio track titled "Always") separates (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 – 29, i.e., separating corresponds to audio track titled "Always" originally classified under "Genre/Artist" node is set to a DIRTY status or delimiter, and subsequent audio tracks in "Genre/Artist" node are set to a CLEAN status, wherein the system will traverse the tree and further update the tree by reclassifying the audio track "Always" to the "Genre" node "Pop") the identified first property (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 – 29; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or audio tracks classified in a node, in this case, an audio track having a status of CLEAN that is listed before the audio track titled "Always") from the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track, wherein Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track can be a second property that has been set to a DIRTY status or delimiter); and displaying (audio player program display 110 - see e.g., audio player program display 110 is used to display master tree 122, grouping tree 124, playlist tree 126, and subtree children) the identified first property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist"), the identified first property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a first property), and the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and

Art Unit: 2179

Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a second property) in hierarchically organized levels (see e.g., Fig. 1 and col. 1, lines 39 – 50; i.e., arranging the metadata query results in a hierarchical representation of the metadata) via a graphical user interface (audio player program display 110 – see e.g., Fig. 1), wherein the identified property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the identified property category corresponds to the first property category "Artist") is displayed as a first level (see e.g., Fig.1; i.e., "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" corresponds to the first level) of the hierarchically organized levels (tree window 120 - see e.g., Fig. 1), and wherein the identified first property (see e.g., col. 9. lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a first property) and the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a second property) are displayed as a second level (see e.g., col. 8, lines 39 - 41: i.e., "Artist" subtree can be expanded to display subtree children, such as Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff) of the hierarchically organized levels (tree window 120 - see e.g., Fig. 1).

As to dependent claim 2, Chasen et al. teaches:

The method of claim 1, wherein the identified first property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist") identifies a genre category, album category, or an artist category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., tree window 120 shows "Artist" category, "Album" category, and "Genre" category).

As to dependent claim 3, Chasen et al. teaches:

Art Unit: 2179

The method of claim 1, wherein the identified first property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 – 67 and col. 9, lines 1 – 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a first property) and the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 – 67 and col. 9, lines 1 – 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a second property) each identify a genre property, an album property, or an artist property (table window 130 – see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., table window 130 includes a node table 132 displays information about the node that is selected in tree window 120, wherein table window 130 identifies "Artist" property, "Album" property, and "Genre" property of corresponding nodes).

As to dependent claim 5, Chasen et al. teaches:

The method of claim 1 further including modifying property data (see e.g., col. 3, lines 52 – 58; i.e., the user is able to modify metadata in master tree 122) included in the metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file) of the media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata) to modify the identified property category (see e.g., col. 4, lines 65 – 67 and col. 5, lines 1 – 6; i.e., the property category "Genre" corresponds to the identified property category), the first identified property, or the second identified property (see e.g., col. 4, lines 65 – 67 and col. 5, lines 1 – 6; i.e., the user is able to modify or rename the genre grouping from "POP" to "Rock" or other subsequent genre groupings) being displayed in the hierarchically organized levels (see e.g., Fig.1).

Art Unit: 2179

As to independent claim 8, claim 8 differs from claim 1 only in that claim 8 is an apparatus claim using a computer-readable medium (see e.g., col. 6, lines 22 - 48) containing executable instructions (software instructions – see e.g., col. 6, lines 31 - 48) that when executed causes a processor (col. 6, lines 63 - 67, and col. 7, lines 1 - 7) to perform the steps of claim 1. Thus, claim 8 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1 above.

As to dependent claim 9, claim 9 differs from claim 2 only in that claim 9 is an apparatus claim using a computer-readable medium (see e.g., col. 6, lines 22 - 48) containing executable instructions (software instructions – see e.g., col. 6, lines 31 - 48) that when executed causes a processor (col. 6, lines 63 - 67, and col. 7, lines 1 - 7) to perform the steps of claim 2. Thus, claim 9 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 2 above.

As to dependent claim 10, claim 10 differs from claim 3 only in that claim 10 is an apparatus claim using a computer-readable medium (see e.g., col. 6, lines 22 - 48) containing executable instructions (software instructions – see e.g., col. 6, lines 31 - 48) that when executed causes a processor (col. 6, lines 63 - 67, and col. 7, lines 1 - 7) to perform the steps of claim 3. Thus, claim 10 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 3 above.

As to independent claim 14, Chasen et al teaches:

In a computer system (user computer – see e.g., col. 6, lines 49 - 62) having a graphical user interface (graphical user interface – see e.g., col. 3, lines 43 - 58) including a display (see e.g., col. 8, lines 6 - 13) and a user interface selection device (see e.g., col. 8, lines 6 - 13), a method of displaying metadata (see e.g., col. 1, lines 40 - 42; i.e., organizing metadata located in a database for presentation on a display to a user) of a selected media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 - 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata) being stored in a memory (see e.g., col.

Art Unit: 2179

1, lines 52 - 53) on the display, wherein the media file is selected by a user (see e.g., col. 5, lines 44 - 51) via the interface selection device (see e.g., col. 8, lines 6 - 13) from one or more media files being stored in a media library (media library - see e.g., Fig. 1), said media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata) having a first metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file) including a first property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" of the audio file), and having a second metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second metadata field corresponds to "Playlists" of the audio file) including a second property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second property data corresponds to "New Playlist", "Favorites", and "Study Music" of the audio file), each property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the set of property data associated with the audio file corresponds to "Master Library" and "Playlists") defining a property of the media file (see e.g., col. 5, lines 20 – 43; i.e., audio program display 110 includes a tree window 120 that is used to display a groupings tree 124 and playlist tree 126 associated with the audio file), respectively, comprising identifying a first property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist") from the first metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file) of the media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata); parsing (see e.g., col. 8, lines 39 – 41 and col. 12, lines 31 – 65; i.e., parsing the first property data corresponds to GUI 220 allowing a user to expand a subtree of the master tree 122 in order to view subtree children in order to edit and the metadata. In further view of parsing, parsing is also viewed as the systems traversal of tree master tree 122, grouping tree 124, and playlist 126 to identify the CLEAN and DIRTY flag or delimiter) the first Art Unit: 2179

property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" after a user has expanded "Master Library") included in the first metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1, i.e., the first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file); identifying (see e.g., Fig. 6 and col. 16, lines 1 – 22; i.e., identifying corresponds to the system traversing all the nodes in the tree, therefore identifying a node that has a CLEAN or DIRTY status flag) a property delimiter (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1 - 22; i.e., the property delimiter corresponds to a CLEAN or DIRTY flag that has been applied to any metadata or data changes, wherein the system marks a node with a CLEAN or DIRTY status) included in the first property data (see e.g., Fig. 1 and col. 15, lines 8 – 29; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" of the audio file, wherein metadata or data changes are applied to nodes within "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" nodes) in the first metadata field (see e.g., "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" nodes are children nodes of the first metadata, wherein the first metadata corresponds to "Master Library"); identifying (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 -29 and col. 16, lines 1-22; i.e., the system traverses all the nodes within the tree, in this case "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", "All Tracks", "Debussy", "Mozart", "Rachmaninoff" or audio track nodes, to identify CLEAN and DIRTY flags of any metadata or data changes the user has applied) a first property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 – 67 and col. 9, lines 1 – 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or audio tracks classified in a node, wherein Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track can be a first property that maintains a CLEAN status or delimiter) and a second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart,

Art Unit: 2179

Rachmaninoff or an audio track, wherein Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track can be a second property that has been set to a DIRTY status or delimiter) based on the identified property delimiter (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 – 29 and col. 16, lines 1 – 22; i.e., the user is able to change the metadata or data of nodes residing in associated parent nodes, such as reclassifying an audio track titled "Always", which corresponds to a second property, wherein the reclassification of audio track "Always" will be set to a DIRTY status or delimiter, and will further be identified when the system traverses the tree) from the parsed first property data (see e.g., col. 15, lines 19 - 29 and col. 16, lines 23 - 28; i.e., first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" or the audio file, wherein the user must parse the "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" nodes to change the metadata or data of an audio track, such as the previously discussed audio track "Always"), wherein the property delimiter (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1 – 22; i.e., the property delimiter corresponds to a CLEAN or DIRTY flag that has been applied to any metadata or data changes, wherein the system marks the node with a CLEAN or DIRTY status or delimiter, such as the second property audio track titled "Always") separates (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 – 29; i.e., separating corresponds to audio track titled "Always" originally classified under "Genre/Artist" node is set to a DIRTY status or delimiter, and subsequent audio tracks in "Genre/Artist" node are set to a CLEAN status, wherein the system will traverse the tree and further update the tree by reclassifying the audio track "Always" to the "Genre" node "Pop") the identified first property (see e.g., col. 15, lines 8 – 29; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or audio tracks classified in a node, in this case, an audio track having a status of CLEAN that is listed before the audio track titled "Always") from the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines

Art Unit: 2179

54-67 and col. 9, lines 1-6, i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track, wherein Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track can be a second property that has been set to a DIRTY status or delimiter); and displaying (audio player program display 110 - see e.g., audio player program display 110 is used to display master tree 122, grouping tree 124, playlist tree 126, and subtree children) the identified first property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist"), the identified first property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a first property), and the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54-67 and col. 9, lines 1-6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a second property) in hierarchically organized levels (see e.g., Fig. 1 and col. 1, lines 39 - 50; i.e., arranging the metadata query results in a hierarchical representation of the metadata) via a graphical user interface (audio player program display 110 - see e.g., Fig. 1), wherein the identified property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the identified property category corresponds to the first property category "Artist") is displayed as a first level (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" corresponds to the first level) of the hierarchically organized levels (tree window 120 - see e.g., Fig.1), and wherein the identified first property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff can be a first property) and the identified second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff, wherein Debussy, Mozart, and

Art Unit: 2179

Rachmaninoff can be a second property) are displayed as a second level (see e.g., col. 8, lines 39 – 41; i.e., "Artist" subtree can be expanded to display subtree children, such as Debussy, Mozart, and Rachmaninoff) of the hierarchically organized levels (tree window 120 – see e.g., Fig.1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7, 12, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chasen et al. (Patent No. 6,760,721) in view of Dwek et al. (Patent No. 6,248,946).

As for dependent claim 6, this claim is analyzed with respect to claim 1 as previously discussed above. Chasen et al. teaches identifying a second property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second property category corresponds to "New Playlist") from the second metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second metadata field corresponds to "Playlists" of the audio file) of the media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 – 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata), wherein the second property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second property category corresponds to "New Playlist") is different (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist", and the second property category corresponds to "New Playlist", wherein both categories are different due to different metadata files) from the identified first property category (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property category corresponds to "Artist"). Chasen et al. further teaches parsing (see e.g., Fig. 1 and col. 8, lines 39 – 41; i.e., parsing the

Art Unit: 2179

first property data corresponds to GUI 220 allowing a user to expand a subtree of the master tree 122 in order to view subtree children) the second property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the second property data corresponds to "New Playlist", "Favorites", and "Study Music", wherein the user is able to expand the second property data to view subtree children, such as "New Playlist", "Favorites", and "Study Music") included in the second metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., "New Playlist", "Favorites", and "Study Music" are associated with "Playlist", which corresponds to the second metadata field) and displaying a third level of the hierarchically organized levels (tree window 120 - see e.g., Fig. 1), but does not teach identifying a different first property different than the first property and a different second property different than the second property, and wherein displaying includes displaying the different first property or the different second property in a third level of the hierarchically organized levels. Dwek et al. teaches identifying a different first property different than the first property (see e.g., Fig. 3A and col. 7, lines 32 - 43; i.e., the "CD" node corresponds to the first property listed under "Genre" of the first metadata category, wherein the "CD" node listed under the metadata category is different from the first) and a different second property different than the second property (see e.g., Fig. 3A and col. 7, lines 32 - 43; i.e., the second property "Song" in the first "Genre" is different from the second property "Song" on the second "Genre"), wherein displaying includes displaying the different first property or the different second property in a third level of the hierarchically organized levels (see e.g., Fig.1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate identifying a second property category from the second metadata field of the media file, wherein the second property category is different from the identified first property category, and parsing the fields of Chasen

Art Unit: 2179

et al. with identifying a different first property different than the first property and a different second property different than the second property wherein displaying includes displaying the different first property or the different second property in a third level of the hierarchically organized levels of Dwek et al. because the hierarchical organization of Dwek et al. allows a list of subgenres to further organize or limit the main genre node (see e.g., col. 7, lines 32 – 43).

As to dependent claim 7, this claim is analyzed with respect to claim 1 as previously discussed above. Chasen et al. teaches a second property category (see e.g., Fig.1; i.e., the second property category corresponds to "New Playlist") associated with a second metadata field (see e.g., Fig. 1: i.e., the second metadata field corresponds to "Playlists" of the audio file) of a media file (see e.g., col. 3, lines 11 - 13; i.e., the media file corresponds to audio metadata), but does note teach the identified second property category identifying a genre category, album category, or an artist category. Dwek et al teaches a second property category (see e.g., Fig. 3A; i.e., the second property category corresponds to a second "Genre" node listed in database display subpane 354) that identifies a genre category, album category, or an artist category (see e.g., Fig. 3A; genre category, artist category, and song category). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the second property category associated with a second metadata field of a media file of Chasen et al. with the second property category identifying a genre category, album category, or an artist category of Dwek et al. because the hierarchical organization of Dwek et al. because the hierarchical organization of Dwek et al. allows a list of subgenres to further organize or limit the main genre node (see e.g., col. 7, lines 32 - 43).

Art Unit: 2179

As to dependent claim 12, claim 12 differs from claim 6 only in that claim 12 is an apparatus claim using a computer-readable medium (see e.g., col. 6, lines 22 - 48) containing executable instructions (software instructions – see e.g., col. 6, lines 31 - 48) that when executed causes a processor (col. 6, lines 63 - 67, and col. 7, lines 1 - 7) to perform the steps of claim 6. Thus, claim 12 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 6 above.

As to dependent claim 13, claim 13 differs from claim 7 only in that claim 13 is an apparatus claim using a computer-readable medium (see e.g., col. 6, lines 22 - 48) containing executable instructions (software instructions – see e.g., col. 6, lines 31 - 48) that when executed causes a processor (col. 6, lines 63 - 67, and col. 7, lines 1 - 7) to perform the steps of claim 7. Thus, claim 13 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 7 above.

As to dependent claim 16, claim 16 differs from claim 6 only in that claim 16 is an apparatus claim using a computer-readable medium (see e.g., col. 6, lines 22 - 48) containing executable instructions (software instructions – see e.g., col. 6, lines 31 - 48) that when executed causes a processor (col. 6, lines 63 - 67, and col. 7, lines 1 - 7) to perform the steps of claim 6. Thus, claim 16 is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 6 above.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 1/19/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

### REMARKS:

In response to the arguments that Chasen et al. fails to disclose or suggest features of parsing the first property data and identifying a delimiter.

Art Unit: 2179

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Chasen et al. does teach parsing (see e.g., col. 8, lines 39 – 41 and col. 12, lines 31 – 65; i.e., expanding the master tree 122 and changing the metadata, wherein the system traverses the trees to identify a CLEAN or DIRTY delimiter) a first property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" of the audio file, wherein the system traverses this subtree to identify a CLEAN or DIRTY delimiter) and identifying a delimiter (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1 -22; i.e., the property delimiter corresponds to a CLEAN or DIRTY delimiter that has been applied to any metadata or data changes by the user, wherein the system marks a node with a CLEAN or DIRTY status). Therefore, the system will allow the user to expand a master tree 122 and edit any metadata associated with a node, wherein the editing of a metadata of a specific node will cause a CLEAN or DIRTY delimiter to be applied to the corresponding node for identification during traversal/parsing. Furthermore, once a node is marked with a delimiter, such as CLEAN or DIRTY, the system parses the trees (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1 - 22; i.e., in this case, parsing is the traversal of the tree to identify a delimiter within the tree) in order to identify the delimiter.

Applicant further argues that Chasen et al. does not disclose or suggest the features of parsing a first property data in the first metadata and identifying a first and second property from the parsed first property data.

Examiner respectfully disagrees. The elements disclosed by the applicant of a *first*property data (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first property data corresponds to "Artist", "Album",

"Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" of the audio file), a *first metadata field* (see e.g., Fig. 1; i.e., the first metadata field corresponds to "Master Library" of the audio file), a *first property* 

Art Unit: 2179

(see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 - 67 and col. 9, lines 1 - 6; i.e., the first property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or audio tracks classified in a node), and a second property (see e.g., col. 9, lines 54 – 67 and col. 9, lines 1 – 6; i.e., the second property corresponds to Debussy, Mozart, Rachmaninoff or an audio track) are clearly taught by Chasen et al. Furthermore, the system taught by Chasen et al. discloses the parsing of subtrees (see e.g., col. 16, lines 1-22; i.e., subtrees corresponding to "Artist", "Album", "Genre", "Genre/Artist", and "All Tracks" of the audio file), wherein the subtrees are in the master library tree 122. Therefore, Chasen et al. meets the limitation of "parsing a first property data in the first metadata field". Chasen et al. also meets the limitation of "identifying a first and second property from the parsed first property data", wherein the CLEAN and DIRTY delimiters are an indication of a first and second property within a hierarchal tree. The indication allows the system, during traversal/parsing of the hierarchal tree, to identify changes made to metadata by the user. Broadly speaking, parsing is defined as a system taking data and breaking up the data into components for the system to act upon, wherein the hierarchal tree is broken up into subtrees that include delimiters to further identify changes made to the metadata during the traversal of the tree.

### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2179

70011101 14d111ber. 10/025,0

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

### Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry Vuu whose telephone number is (571) 270-1048. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo can be reached on (571) 272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 2179

Henry Vuu

3/20/2007

Page 20